

To: tcavanaugh@spk.usace.army.mil[]
Cc: CN=Tim Vendlinski/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Carolyn Yale/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;schwinn.karen@epa.gov;CN=Kathleen Dadey/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Carolyn Yale/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;schwinn.karen@epa.gov;CN=Kathleen Dadey/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Carolyn Yale/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;schwinn.karen@epa.gov;CN=Kathleen Dadey/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; chwinn.karen@epa.gov;CN=Kathleen Dadey/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Kathleen Dadey/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
From: CN=Michael Boots/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Thur 2/22/2001 7:54:17 PM
Subject: Draft Qs and As on CALFED 404 MOU
[talkingpoints081700.wpd](#)
(embedded image)

Attached is a draft set of Qs and As on the 404 MOU that we worked through back in August. At the time, we (i.e., the Corps and EPA) could not reach agreement on the final wording of this text, and some felt that it was not in our best interest to lay out an interpretation of the MOU. Therefore, we agreed to not to distribute it more broadly.

However, as we discussed on our conference call this morning, for a variety of reasons it now seems necessary and only fair for our two agencies to provide a coherent answer regarding "what the MOU meant."

We look forward to feedback from the Corps on this draft after you've had a chance to take a look at it. Feel free to give me a call at (b) (6) once you're ready to discuss it.

Thanks,
Mike